

COMPACT COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON THE BLOCH SPACE

KEVIN MADIGAN AND ALEC MATHESON

ABSTRACT. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a composition operator $C_\phi f = f \circ \phi$ to be compact on the Bloch space \mathcal{B} and on the little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0 . Weakly compact composition operators on \mathcal{B}_0 are shown to be compact. If $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is a conformal mapping of the unit disk \mathbb{D} into itself whose image $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ approaches the unit circle \mathbb{T} only in a finite number of nontangential cusps, then C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B}_0 . On the other hand if there is a point of $\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\phi(\mathbb{D})}$ at which $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ does not have a cusp, then C_ϕ is not compact.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{D} denote the unit disk in the complex plane. A function f holomorphic in \mathbb{D} is said to belong to the Bloch space \mathcal{B} if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty$$

and to the little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0 if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| = 0.$$

It is well known that \mathcal{B} is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)|$$

and that \mathcal{B}_0 is a closed subspace of \mathcal{B} . Furthermore, \mathcal{B} is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{B}_0 and the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ corresponds to the canonical imbedding of \mathcal{B}_0 into \mathcal{B}_0^{**} [ACP]. It is a simple consequence of the Schwarz-Pick lemma [A] that a holomorphic mapping ϕ of the unit disk into itself induces a bounded composition operator $C_\phi f = f \circ \phi$ on \mathcal{B} . Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{B}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (1 - |z|^2) |(f \circ \phi)'(z)| &= (1 - |z|^2) |f'(\phi(z))| |\phi'(z)| \\ &= \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| (1 - |\phi(z)|^2) |f'(\phi(z))| \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors November 23, 1993 and, in revised form, April 27, 1994; originally communicated to the *Proceedings of the AMS* by Theodore Gamelin.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B38, 47B07; Secondary 30D55.

Key words and phrases. Composition operator, compact operator, Bloch space, cusp.

The authors would like to thank Dan Luecking for bringing Proposition 1 to their attention and David Stegenga for discussions about cusps.

and the Schwarz-Pick lemma guarantees that

$$(2) \quad \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| \leq 1.$$

Since the identity function $f(z) = z$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_0 , it is clear that $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$ if C_ϕ maps \mathcal{B}_0 into itself. Conversely, if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$, it follows from (1) and (2) that $f \circ \phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Indeed, if $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|z|^2 > 1 - \delta$. In particular, $(1 - |z|^2)|(f \circ \phi)'(z)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|\phi(z)|^2 > 1 - \delta$. On the other hand, if $|\phi(z)|^2 \leq 1 - \delta$,

$$(1 - |z|^2)|(f \circ \phi)'(z)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}}{\delta} (1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)|,$$

and the right-hand side tends to 0 as $|z| \rightarrow 1$.

In Section 2 the compact composition operators on \mathcal{B}_0 and on \mathcal{B} will be characterized in terms of the quotient $\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)|$. A bounded linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ from the Banach space X to the Banach space Y is *weakly compact* if T takes bounded sets in X into relatively weakly compact sets in Y . Gantmacher's theorem [D, p. 21] asserts that T is weakly compact if and only if $T^{**}(X^{**}) \subset Y$ where T^{**} denotes the second adjoint of T . This theorem and the characterization of compact operators on \mathcal{B}_0 will be used to show that every weakly compact composition operator on \mathcal{B}_0 is compact.

In Section 3 the results of Section 2 will be applied to certain univalent functions ϕ which map \mathbb{D} into itself. It is known that such functions belong to \mathcal{B}_0 [P, p. 12]; and it will be clear from Section 2 that if $\|\phi\|_\infty < 1$, then C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B}_0 . On the other hand if $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$ and there is a point of $\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\phi(\mathbb{D})}$ at which $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ does not have a cusp, then C_ϕ is not compact. However if $\mathbb{T} \cap \overline{\phi(\mathbb{D})}$ consists of only one point at which $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ has a nontangential cusp, then C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B}_0 .

2. COMPACTNESS

Theorem 1 gives a precise description of those ϕ which induce compact composition operators on \mathcal{B}_0 . It will be useful first to give a criterion for compactness in \mathcal{B}_0 .

Lemma 1. *A closed set K in \mathcal{B}_0 is compact if and only if it is bounded and satisfies*

$$(3) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{f \in K} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| = 0.$$

Proof. First suppose that K is compact and let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose an $\epsilon/2$ -net f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in K . There is an r , $0 < r < 1$, such that $(1 - |z|^2)|f'_i(z)| < \epsilon/2$ if $|z| > r$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $f \in K$, $\|f - f_i\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \epsilon/2$ for some f_i and so

$$(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| \leq \|f - f_i\|_{\mathcal{B}} + (1 - |z|^2)|f'_i(z)| < \epsilon$$

whenever $|z| > r$. This establishes (3).

On the other hand if K is a closed bounded set which satisfies (3) and (f_n) is a sequence in K , then by Montel's theorem there is a subsequence (f_{n_k}) which converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} to some holomorphic function f . Then also (f'_{n_k}) converges uniformly to f' on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . By (3), if

$\epsilon > 0$, there is an r , $0 < r < 1$, such that for all $g \in K$, $(1 - |z|^2)|g'(z)| < \epsilon/2$ if $|z| > r$. It follows that $(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \epsilon/2$ if $|z| > r$. Since (f_{n_k}) converges uniformly to f and (f'_{n_k}) converges uniformly to f' on $|z| \leq r$, it follows that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_k} - f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_k} - f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ and so K is compact.

Theorem 1. *If ϕ is a holomorphic mapping of the unit disk \mathbb{D} into itself, then ϕ induces a compact composition operator on \mathcal{B}_0 if and only if*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 that C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B}_0 if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1} (1 - |z|^2) |(f \circ \phi)'(z)| = 0.$$

But

$$(1 - |z|^2) |(f \circ \phi)'(z)| = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| (1 - |\phi(z)|^2) |f'(\phi(z))|,$$

and

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1} (1 - |w|^2) |f'(w)| = 1$$

for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$. The theorem follows.

It should be remarked that (4) implies $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$. A similar condition characterizes compact composition operators on \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 2. *If ϕ is a holomorphic mapping of the unit disk \mathbb{D} into itself, then ϕ induces a compact composition operator on \mathcal{B} if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists r , $0 < r < 1$, such that*

$$(5) \quad \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| < \epsilon$$

whenever $|\phi(z)| > r$.

Proof. First assume that (5) holds. In order to prove that C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B} it is enough to show that if (f_n) is a bounded sequence in \mathcal{B} which converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} , then $\|f_n \circ \phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow 0$. Let $M = \sup_n \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists r , $0 < r < 1$, such that $\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$ if $|\phi(z)| > r$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2) |(f_n \circ \phi)'(z)| &= \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| (1 - |\phi(z)|^2) |f'_n(\phi(z))| \\ &\leq M \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $(1 - |z|^2) |(f_n \circ \phi)'(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ if $|\phi(z)| > r$. On the other hand, $f_n \circ \phi(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $(1 - |w|^2) |f'_n(w)| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $|w| \leq r$. Since

$$(1 - |z|^2) |(f_n \circ \phi)'(z)| \leq (1 - |\phi(z)|^2) |f'_n(\phi(z))|,$$

it follows that for large enough n , $|f_n \circ \phi(0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $(1 - |z|^2) |(f_n \circ \phi)'(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ if $|\phi(z)| \leq r$. Hence $\|f_n \circ \phi\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \epsilon$ for large n .

Now assume that (5) fails. Then there exists a subsequence (z_n) in \mathbb{D} and an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$ and $\frac{1-|z_n|^2}{1-|\phi(z_n)|^2} |\phi'(z_n)| > \epsilon$ for all n . Passing to a subsequence if necessary it may be assumed that $w_n = \phi(z_n) \rightarrow w_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $f_n(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-\overline{w_n}z}$. Then (f_n) converges to f_0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi f_n - C_\phi f_0\|_{\mathcal{B}} &\geq (1 - |z_n|^2) |(C_\phi f_n)'(z_n) - (C_\phi f_0)'(z_n)| \\ &= (1 - |z_n|^2) |\phi'(z_n)| \left| \frac{\overline{w_n}}{1 - |w_n|^2} - \frac{\overline{w_0}}{1 - \overline{w_0}w_n} \right| \\ &= \frac{(1 - |z_n|^2)}{1 - |w_n|^2} |\phi'(z_n)| \left| \frac{\overline{w_n} - \overline{w_0}}{1 - \overline{w_0}w_n} \right| \\ &> \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all n , so $C_\phi f_n$ does not converge to $C_\phi f_0$ in norm. Hence C_ϕ is not compact.

It is important to note that although (4) implies (5), since in this case C_ϕ on \mathcal{B} is the second adjoint of C_ϕ on \mathcal{B}_0 , the two conditions are not equivalent. Condition (4) implies that $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$, while there certainly exist functions $\phi \notin \mathcal{B}_0$ which satisfy (5). Indeed, any ϕ for which $\|\phi\|_\infty < 1$ satisfies (5) trivially.

A sequence (w_n) in \mathbb{D} is said to be η -separated if $\rho(w_n, w_m) = \left| \frac{w_m - w_n}{1 - \overline{w_m}w_n} \right| > \eta$ whenever $m \neq n$. Thus an η -separated sequence consists of points which are uniformly far apart in the pseudohyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D} , or equivalently, the hyperbolic balls $\Delta(w_n, r) = \{z \mid \rho(z, w_n) < r\}$ are pairwise disjoint for some $r > 0$. Evidently any sequence (w_n) in \mathbb{D} which satisfies $|w_n| \rightarrow 1$ possesses an η -separated subsequence for any $\eta > 0$. In particular, if the sequence (w_n) in the proof of Theorem 2 is η -separated, then the calculation in the proof shows that $\|C_\phi f_m - C_\phi f_n\| > \epsilon \eta$ whenever $m \neq n$, so $(C_\phi f_n)$ has no norm convergent subsequences.

Another property of separated sequences is contained in the next proposition. This proposition is related to some interpolation results of Rochberg [RR1, RR2]. Since the method of proof is precisely the same as Rochberg's, a proof will only be sketched.

Proposition 1. *There is an absolute constant $R > 0$ such that if (w_n) is R -separated, then for every bounded sequence (λ_n) there is an $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(1 - |w_n|^2)f'(w_n) = \lambda_n$ for all n .*

The idea of the proof is to consider two operators $S: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow l^\infty$ given by

$$S(f)_n = (1 - |w_n|^2)f'(w_n)$$

and $T: l^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ given by

$$T(\lambda)(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{1}{3\overline{w_n}} \frac{(1 - |w_n|^2)^3}{(1 - \overline{w_n}z)^3}$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_n) \in l^\infty$. The proposition will follow if it can be shown that $\|I - ST\| < 1$, for then ST will be invertible and so S will be onto. The symbol C will denote a constant whose value changes from place to place but

does not depend on R . Now

$$(ST - I)(\lambda)_n = (1 - |w_n|^2) \sum_{m \neq n} \lambda_m \frac{(1 - |w_m|^2)^3}{(1 - \bar{w}_m w_n)^4}$$

and so it will be enough to estimate

$$\sup_n (1 - |w_n|) \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{(1 - |w_m|^2)^3}{|1 - \bar{w}_m w_n|^4}.$$

If $R > 1/2$, say, then there is a fixed $\delta > 0$ such that the Euclidean disk D_m of center w_m and radius $\delta(1 - |w_m|^2)$ is contained in the hyperbolic disk $\Delta_m = \Delta(w_m, R)$ and is disjoint from the hyperbolic disks Δ_n for $n \neq m$. Since $|1 - \bar{z}w_n|^{-4}$ is subharmonic and the radius of D_m is comparable to $1 - |w_m|^2$,

$$\frac{(1 - |w_m|^2)^3}{|1 - \bar{w}_m w_n|^4} \leq C \iint_{D_m} \frac{1 - |w_m|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w_n|^4} dx dy;$$

and since $|1 - \bar{w}_n z|$ dominates $1 - |w_m|^2$ on D_m , it follows that

$$\frac{(1 - |w_m|^2)^3}{|1 - \bar{w}_m w_n|^4} \leq C \iint_{D_m} \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{w}_n z|^3} dx dy$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n (1 - |w_n|) \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{(1 - |w_m|^2)^3}{|1 - \bar{w}_m w_n|^4} &\leq C \iint_{\bigcup_{m \neq n} D_m} \frac{1 - |w_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}_n z|^3} dx dy \\ &\leq C \iint_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \Delta_n} \frac{1 - |w_n|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}_n z|^3} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variables $z = \frac{w_n + \zeta}{1 + \bar{w}_n \zeta}$ turns this into

$$\sup_n (1 - |w_n|) \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{(1 - |w_m|^2)^3}{|1 - \bar{w}_m w_n|^4} \leq C \iint_{|\zeta| > R} \frac{1}{|1 + \bar{w}_n \zeta|} d\xi d\eta,$$

and the last integral can be made arbitrarily small uniformly in n if R is chosen close enough to 1. This provides the desired estimate.

Since every sequence (w_n) with $|w_n| \rightarrow 1$ contains an R -separated subsequence (w_{n_k}) , it follows that there is an $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(1 - |w_{n_k}|^2)f'(w_{n_k}) = 1$ for all k . This will be used in the proof of the next theorem.

Theorem 3. Every weakly compact composition operator C_ϕ on \mathcal{B}_0 is compact.

Proof. The composition operator $C_\phi: \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0$ is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 0$$

and, according to Gantmacher's theorem, weakly compact if and only if $C_\phi f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{B}$. If C_ϕ is not compact, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence (z_n) , $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$, such that

$$\frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2} |\phi'(z_n)| \geq \epsilon$$

for all n . Since $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $|\phi(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$, and by passing to a subsequence it may be assumed that $(\phi(z_n))$ is R -separated. If $f \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z_n|^2)|(C_\phi f)'(z_n)| &= \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2} |\phi'(z_n)|(1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2)|f'(\phi(z_n))| \\ &\geq \epsilon(1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2)|f'(\phi(z_n))|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\phi(z_n))$ is R -separated, an application of Proposition 1 produces an $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2)|(C_\phi f)'(z_n)| = 1$, for all n . Since $(1 - |z_n|^2)|(C_\phi f)'(z_n)| \geq \epsilon$ and $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$, $C_\phi f \notin \mathcal{B}_0$ and so C_ϕ is not weakly compact.

A slight refinement of these arguments will show that a noncompact composition operator on \mathcal{B}_0 must be an isomorphism on a subspace isomorphic to the sequence space c_0 . This is not surprising since \mathcal{B}_0 is known to be isomorphic to c_0 .

3. EXAMPLES

As remarked in the introduction any holomorphic mapping ϕ of the unit disk into itself satisfying $\|\phi\|_\infty < 1$ induces a compact composition operator on \mathcal{B} and also on \mathcal{B}_0 if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$. On the other hand it is easy to see that if ϕ has a finite angular derivative at some point of \mathbb{T} , then C_ϕ cannot be compact. Indeed, ϕ has an angular derivative at $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ if the nontangential limit $\omega = f(\zeta) \in \mathbb{T}$ exists and if the quotient $\frac{f(z) - f(\zeta)}{z - \zeta}$ converges to some complex number μ as $z \rightarrow \zeta$ nontangentially. It is known that $\mu \neq 0$, and the Julia-Carathéodory lemma shows that $\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)|$ converges to $\zeta \bar{\omega} \mu \neq 0$ nontangentially. Applying Theorem 1 or 2 as appropriate shows that C_ϕ is not compact.

It turns out, however, that ϕ can push the disk much more sharply into itself and still induce a noncompact composition operator. The easiest way to see this is to consider the functions $\phi_{\lambda, \alpha}(z) = 1 - \lambda(1 - z)^\alpha$, $0 < \lambda, \alpha < 1$. It is easy to see that $\phi_{\lambda, \alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and that $\phi_{\lambda, \alpha}$ maps \mathbb{D} onto a region which behaves at 1 like a Stolz angle of opening $\pi\alpha$. If C_ϕ were compact on \mathcal{B}_0 , composition with $\log \frac{1}{1-z}$ would yield a function in \mathcal{B}_0 , but an easy calculation shows that this is not so. This leads to the consideration of cusps.

Throughout the remainder of this section ϕ will denote a univalent mapping of the unit disk \mathbb{D} into itself with image $G = \phi(\mathbb{D})$. For simplicity it will be assumed that $\bar{G} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}$. The region G is said to have a cusp at 1 [P, p. 256] if

$$(6) \quad \text{dist}(w, \partial G) = o(|1 - w|)$$

as $w \rightarrow 1$ in G . Otherwise G does not have a cusp at 1. The cusp is said to be nontangential if G lies inside a Stolz angle near 1, i.e., there exist $r, M > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad |1 - w| \leq M(1 - |w|^2)$$

if $|1 - w| < r$, $w \in G$. Finally the following geometric property of the conformal mapping ϕ will be needed. If ϕ is a conformal mapping with domain \mathbb{D} ,

then

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{4}(1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)| \leq \text{dist}(\phi(z), \partial G) \leq (1 - |z|^2)|\phi'(z)|.$$

This inequality, known as the Koebe distortion theorem, is an elementary consequence of the Schwarz lemma and Koebe's one-quarter theorem [G, p. 13]. It can be used to prove that bounded univalent functions lie in \mathcal{B}_0 . Indeed, if $\phi \notin \mathcal{B}_0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ and a sequence (z_n) in \mathbb{D} with $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$ and $(1 - |z_n|)|\phi'(z_n)| > \delta$ for all n . Hence $\text{dist}(\phi(z_n), \partial G) > \delta/4$, so $\phi(z_n)$ has a cluster point in G , contradicting the fact that ϕ is a proper map. Theorem 4 provides a negative result.

Theorem 4. *If ϕ is univalent and $G = \phi(\mathbb{D})$ satisfies $\overline{G} \cap \mathbb{T} = \{1\}$ but does not have a cusp at 1, then C_ϕ is not compact on \mathcal{B}_0 .*

Proof. Since G does not have a cusp at 1, (6) fails. Hence there is a $\delta > 0$ and a sequence (z_n) in \mathbb{D} such that $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$, but

$$\text{dist}(\phi(z_n), \partial G) \geq \delta|1 - \phi(z_n)|.$$

Hence

$$\delta(1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2) \leq 2\delta(1 - |\phi(z_n)|) \leq 2\text{dist}(\phi(z_n), \partial G) \leq 2(1 - |z_n|^2)|\phi'(z_n)|,$$

so $\frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{1 - |\phi(z_n)|^2}|\phi'(z_n)| \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Since $|z_n| \rightarrow 1$, Theorem 1 shows that C_ϕ is not compact.

The next theorem shows how to produce compact composition operators on \mathcal{B}_0 from univalent mappings ϕ with $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$.

Theorem 5. *If ϕ is univalent and if G has a nontangential cusp at 1 and touches the unit circle at no other point, then C_ϕ is a compact operator on \mathcal{B}_0 .*

Proof. As $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$, it will be enough to show that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| = 0,$$

since the theorem will then follow from Theorem 1. Since G has a nontangential cusp at 1, there exist $r, M > 0$ such that

$$|1 - w| \leq M(1 - |w|^2)$$

if $|1 - w| < r$, $w \in G$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since G has a cusp at 1, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{dist}(w, \partial G) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4M}|1 - w|$$

if $|1 - w| < \delta$, $w \in G$. Let $\eta = \min(\delta, r)$. If $|1 - \phi(z)| < \eta$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| &\leq \frac{4\text{dist}(\phi(z), \partial G)}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{M} \frac{|1 - \phi(z)|}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand if $|1 - \phi(z)| \geq \eta$, there is a constant $N > 0$ such that $|\phi'(z)| \leq N$ by the smoothness assumption and a $\rho > 0$ such that $1 - |\phi(z)|^2 \geq \rho$. In this case

$$\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| \leq \frac{N}{\rho} (1 - |z|^2),$$

and this is less than ϵ if $|z|^2 > 1 - \frac{\rho\epsilon}{N}$. That completes the proof.

It is possible to describe regions G with tangential cusp such that the Riemann mapping $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow G$ admits either possibility. Indeed, suppose that $h(\theta)$ and $k(\theta)$ are positive continuous functions on $[0, \theta_0]$ with $h(\theta) = o(\theta)$ and $k(\theta) = o(\theta)$. Let

$$G = \{re^{i\theta} \mid 0 < \theta < \theta_0, h(\theta) < 1 - r < h(\theta) + k(\theta)\}.$$

Then clearly G has a tangential cusp at 1. If $k(\theta) = o(h(\theta))$, then, for $w = re^{i\theta} = \phi(z)$,

$$(1 - |z|^2) |\phi'(z)| \leq \text{dist}(w, \partial G) \leq k(\theta)$$

and

$$1 - |w|^2 \geq 1 - |w| > h(\theta),$$

so $\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - |\phi(z)|^2} |\phi'(z)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\phi(z)| \rightarrow 1$. Since ϕ is univalent, the argument of Theorem 5 shows that C_ϕ is compact. On the other hand if $k(\theta) = 2h(\theta)$ and $w(\theta) = (1 - 2h(\theta))e^{i\theta} = \phi(z(\theta))$, then evidently $\text{dist}(w(\theta), \partial G) > ch(\theta)$ for some constant c , and since $(1 - |z|^2) |\phi'(z)| \geq \text{dist}(\phi(z), \partial G)$, it follows that $\frac{1 - |z(\theta)|^2}{1 - |w(\theta)|^2} |\phi'(z(\theta))| \geq \frac{c}{4}$, and so C_ϕ is not compact.

4. CONCLUSION

Although the conditions of Theorems 1 and 2 provide succinct analytic conditions on a function ϕ in order that it induce compact composition operators, it is desirable to have more geometric conditions. For example, it is clear from Section 3 that if ϕ is a conformal mapping which has only a finite number of nontangential cusps on the unit circle \mathbb{T} and no other points of contact, then C_ϕ will be compact on \mathcal{B}_0 . This raises the question of whether or not there is a $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $\overline{\phi(\mathbb{D})} \cap \mathbb{T}$ is infinite and C_ϕ is compact on \mathcal{B}_0 . In this regard, it is known that if ϕ has nontangential limit of modulus one on a set of positive measure, then ϕ has an angular derivative at some point and so C_ϕ is not compact [Sh, p. 71]. Further information about compact operators considered from a geometric point of view, especially on H^2 , can be found in [Sh] and [SSS].

Finally, if $\phi \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and C_ϕ is compact, then $\log \frac{1}{1 - \overline{w}\phi(z)} \in \mathcal{B}_0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{T}$. Is the converse of this true?

REFERENCES

- [A] Lars V. Ahlfors, *Conformal invariants*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [ACP] J. M. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **270** (1974), 12–37.
- [D] Joseph Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

- [G] John Garnett, *Applications of harmonic measure*, Univ. Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Math. Sci., vol. 8, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [P] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [RR1] R. Rochberg, *Decomposition theorems for Bergman spaces and their applications*, Operators and Function Theory (S. C. Power, ed.), Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985, pp. 225–277.
- [RR2] R. Rochberg, *Interpolation by functions in the Bergman spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **29** (1982), 229–236.
- [Sh] J. Shapiro, *Composition operators and classical function theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [SSS] J. H. Shapiro, W. Smith, and D. A. Stegenga, *Geometric models and compactness of composition operators*, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60208
E-mail address: madigan@math.nwu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LAMAR UNIVERSITY, BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77710
E-mail address: matheson@math.lamar.edu